

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,832	02/24/2004		Masatomo Yamada	051626-5011	051626-5011 2097	
9629	7590	09/02/2005	•	EXAMINER		
·		& BOCKIUS LLP IA AVENUE NW	WALSH,	WALSH, RYAN D		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2852		

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1)/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,832	YAMADA, MASATOMO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan D. Walsh	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to a cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	ebruary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	e de la	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rece	eived.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/784,832

Art Unit: 2852

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1, Fig. 1A and 1B

Embodiment 2, Fig. 2A and 2B

Embodiment 3, Fig. 3A and 3B

Embodiment 4, Fig. 4A and 4B

Embodiment 5, Fig. 5A and 5B

Embodiment 6, Fig. 6A and 6B

Embodiment 7, Fig. 7A and 7B

Embodiment 8, Fig. 8A and 8B

Embodiment 9, Fig. 9A and 9B

Embodiment 10, Fig. 10A and 10B

Embodiment 11, Fig. 11A and 11B

Embodiment 12, Fig. 12A and 12B

Embodiment 13, Fig. 13A and 13B

Embodiment 14, Fig. 14

Embodiment 15, Fig. 15

Embodiment 16, Fig. 16

Embodiment 17, Fig. 17

Embodiment 18, Fig. 18

Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

Embodiment 19, Fig. 19

Embodiment 20, Fig. 20

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,832 Page 4

Art Unit: 2852

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

### **Drawings**

Figures 21 and 22 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Fig. 22 does not show reference number (106). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 2852

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 21, Ln. 22, "Fig. 2B" should read Fig. 1B. Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan D. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-2726. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray can be reached on 571-272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan D. Walsh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2852

David Gray Primary Examiner